

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

FILED  
MAR 20 2007

  
CLERK

\*\*\*\*\*

CHARLES E. SISNEY, \* CIV 03-4260

Plaintiff, \*

vs. \*

TIM REISCH, Secretary of Corrections for \*  
South Dakota; DOUGLAS L. WEBER, \*  
Chief Warden for the Department of \*  
Corrections of South Dakota; DENNIS \*  
BLOCK, Associate Warden for the South \*  
Dakota State Penitentiary; JENNIFER \*  
WAGNER a/k/a Jennifer Lane, Cultural \*  
Activities Coordinator for the South Dakota \*  
State Penitentiary; DOUG LOEN, Policy \*  
Analyst for the South Dakota State \*  
Penitentiary; DARYL SLYKHUIS, Interim \*  
Warden of the South Dakota State \*  
Penitentiary; JOHN/JANE DOE STAFF \*  
MEMBERS, AGENTS, EMPLOYEES \*  
AND/OR OFFICERS OF THE SOUTH \*  
DAKOTA STATE PENITENTIARY \*  
AND/OR SOUTH DAKOTA \*  
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS; all \*  
Defendants sued in both their individual and \*  
official capacities,

\*\*\*\*\*  
MEMORANDUM OPINION  
AND ORDER

Defendants. \*


\*\*\*\*\*

In their reply brief filed on February 28, 2007, Doc. 204, Defendants requested an opportunity to respond to Plaintiffs' arguments regarding the Supreme Court's decision in *Jones v. Bock*, 127 S.Ct. 910 (2007), expected to be presented in Plaintiff's brief on March 9, 2007, regarding exhaustion of administrative remedies. This request will be granted. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that, on or before March 22, 2007, Defendants may file a brief to respond to the issues raised in Plaintiffs' Brief, Doc. 205, regarding the impact of the Supreme Court's decision in *Jones v. Bock*, 127 S.Ct. 910 (2007).

Dated this 20<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2007.


BY THE COURT:



Lawrence L. Piersol  
United States District Judge

ATTEST:

JOSEPH HAAS, CLERK



By: Jackie Mieschke  
Deputy